

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

				. —	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/622,634	02/06/2001	Gilles Petitjean	IM-1185	8978	
75	7590 05/21/2004			EXAMINER	
E I du Pont de Nemous and Company			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
Legal Patents Wilmington, DE 19898		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
wilmington, D	E 19898		3724		
				•	

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		LA-
:	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/622,634	PETITJEAN ET AL.
A Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Description</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-24 and 26-28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16,18-24 and 26-28 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	s/are withdrawn from considera	ation.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/622,634

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 12/5/03.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the pulling unit, the processing line, the step of opening the channel and the edge channel must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15, it is not clear what "opening the channel (s) to release at least one edge" encompasses. How opening the channel releases the edge, when the pulling unit 11 is securing the edge in step IV?

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sumida et al. (5,899,129).

Sumida discloses the method of cutting a web comprising: cutting off at least one edge laterally (Fig. 1); cutting said at least one edge along a direction transverse to the conveyance direction (Fig. 10B); taking up said at least one edge into processing line (Fig. 10C); securing said at least one edge (Fig. 11A); opening the channel (Fig. 11B); cutting the central portion (Fig. 1); and forming a loop of edges (Fig. 11A).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15 and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reed, Schneider, Pellaton, Hildebrandt, Sankaran, Poloni, Pumpe are cited to show related device.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,634

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs May 17, 2004

> (ENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER